

Question 1:

Does the WJB currently use a centralized identity provider (e.g., Microsoft Entra ID, Okta, or similar) for authentication across court staff and external users such as attorneys? To the extent known, do existing systems including C-Track/CTEF and File & ServeXpress currently federate with that IdP, or do they maintain separate user directories? **Yes, for WJB judicial officers and staff, and district court clerks. No, for external users.**

Question 2:

How many courts, courtrooms, and users (judicial officers, attorneys, staff) does the WJB anticipate will access the EEMS? **Wyoming trial courts include one (1) chancery court, twenty-three (23) district courts, twenty-five (25) circuit courts. There are 73 courtrooms, and approximately 300 court users.**

Question 3:

What is the anticipated volume of cases and evidence submissions per month/year across all courts? **In Fiscal Year 2025, Wyoming's trial courts had approximately one hundred and twenty thousand (120,000) cases. Approximately sixty-two thousand (62,000) were traffic related cases (these cases rarely include evidence). The WJB anticipates an average of approximately 50 evidence submissions per court each month. Larger courts may experience higher volumes, while smaller rural courts may see fewer, but overall, the average is expected to be around 50 submissions per month.**

Question 4:

Is the WJB looking for a single statewide instance or court-specific deployments? **Statewide.**

Question 5:

Will the Supreme Court (using Appellate C-Track/CTEF) be included in scope, or is this primarily for district/circuit/chancery courts? **The WJB anticipates the Wyoming Supreme Court to be included in the scope.**

Question 6:

Will the WJB provide API documentation or sandbox access for FullCourt Enterprise and File & ServeXpress for integration testing purposes? **The WJB and the vendors will need to work together to answer this question if and when a contract is executed.**

Question 7:

Is the expectation that evidence submitted via File & ServeXpress would automatically flow into the EEMS, or are these separate submission channels? **There is no current expectation**

that evidence submitted via File & ServeXpress would automatically flow into the EEMS. Currently, we would expect functionality to be through separate submission channels; however, the ability to change that expectation in the future could be considered.

Question 8:

The RFI mentions "physical exhibits" — is barcode/RFID tracking of physical evidence in scope, or is this strictly a digital evidence system? The WJB anticipates this to be a digital evidence system. Court clerks can track physical exhibits in the case management system, though without barcodes and scanners.

Question 9:

What is the existing courtroom AV infrastructure across Wyoming's courts? For example, are courtrooms equipped with dedicated evidence display systems, document cameras, HDMI switching hardware, or judge/jury-facing monitors? Or does the current setup rely on general-purpose projectors and screens? The existing AV infrastructure in Wyoming's courts includes display systems, HDMI switching hardware, and monitors that can be seen by all proceeding participants. There are no document cameras, projectors or screens.

Question 10:

Is in-courtroom offline/fallback capability required if internet connectivity is lost during a proceeding? Although currently not required of current systems, the WJB is interested in information regarding available options and capabilities for online/fallback functionality.

Question 11:

Beyond the case management systems (FullCourt Enterprise, C-Track/CTEF) and e-filing systems (File & ServeXpress) already identified, does the WJB utilize additional court technology systems that may require integration with the EEMS? Specifically: (a) digital court recording software such as For The Record or CourtSmart; (b) a hearing scheduling system if separate from the CMS; and (c) video conferencing platforms used for remote hearings. – The EEMS may need to integrate with a selected remote public access system/vendor. That system/vendor is currently being evaluated through a separate RFI process. The RFI for that system is currently posted on the WJB website.

The WJB is continuing to evaluate other systems identified and will further assess them should an RFP be issued. Respondents are encouraged to outline the potential benefits of including this functionality in their Submissions.

Question 12:

Could the WJB provide approximate user counts or ranges across the key user categories expected to access the EEMS — specifically judicial officers and court staff, licensed attorneys and law firms, justice partner organizations such as law enforcement agencies, and any public or media access users? Additionally, what is the WJB's expectation for how external users such as attorneys will be provisioned — through self-registration, attorney bar number verification, or through an existing directory such as the e-filing system? The WJB anticipates the following user counts for its judicial officers and staff:

- Super Users; Administrative Office of the Courts Staff – Approximately twelve (12) to fifteen (15);
- Judicial Officers – Sixty-one (61);
- Chambers Staff – Approximately ninety (90); and
- Clerks – Approximately two hundred (200).

The Wyoming State Bar includes approximately three thousand four-hundred (3,400) active members, including approximately one thousand seven-hundred (1,700) members with Wyoming addresses. While the WJB does anticipate media and general public access, it is difficult at this point in time to determine an approximate user count or how those users will access the EEMS.